arXiv:1603.07043v1 [math-ph] 23 Mar 2016

On a Quantum Entropy Power Inequality of Audenaert, Datta and Ozols

Eric A. Carlen[1], Elliott H. Lieb[2] and Michael Loss[3]

[1] Department of Mathematics, Hill Center,
Rutgers University, 110 Frelinghuysen Road Piscataway NJ 08854-8019 USA
[2] Departments of Mathematics and Physics, Jadwin Hall, Princeton University
P. O. Box 708, Princeton, NJ 08542.
[3] School of Mathematics, Georgia Tech, Atlanta GA 30332

March 19, 2016

Abstract

We give a short proof of a recent inequality of Audenaert, Datta and Ozols, and determine cases of equality.

1 Introduction

Let X and Y be independent $\mathbb{R}^n$ valued random variables with probability densities ρ and σ respectively. For any $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$, a simple calculation shows that the sum $\cos\theta X + \sin\theta Y$ has the density

$$\rho \star_\theta \sigma(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta)\sigma(-x\sin\theta + y\cos\theta)\mathrm{d}y \ . \tag{1.1}$$

If U_θ is the orthogonal transformation on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ given in block form by $U_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta 1 & -\sin\theta 1 \\ \sin\theta 1 & \cos\theta 1 \end{bmatrix}$, we can rewrite (1.1) as

$$\rho \star_\theta \sigma(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \otimes \sigma(U_\theta(x, y))\mathrm{d}y \ . \tag{1.2}$$

Every probability density ρ on $\mathbb{R}$ that has a finite second moment has a well-defined entropy $S(\rho)$ given by $S(\rho) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho\log\rho(x)\mathrm{d}x$ with $S(\rho) \in [-\infty, S(\gamma_\rho)]$ where γ_ρ is the centered Gaussian

[1]Work partially supported by U.S. National Science Foundation grant DMS 1501007.
[2]Work partially supported by U.S. National Science Foundation grant PHY-1265118
[3]Work partially supported by U.S. National Science Foundation Grant DMS 1301555 and the German Humboldt Foundation

© 2016 by the authors. This paper may be reproduced, in its entirety, for non-commercial purposes.

density with the same variance as ρ. One form of Shannon's Entropy Power Inequality, first proved by Stam [8], is that for all ρ and σ with finite variance, and all $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$,

$$S(\rho \star_\theta \sigma) \geq \cos^2\theta S(\rho) + \sin^2\theta S(\sigma) \ . \tag{1.3}$$

For a different proof, see [6].

Several authors [1, 4] have recently investigated quantum analogs of the entropy power inequality. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space. Let U be any unitary operator on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, and let ρ and let ρ and σ be two density matrices on $\mathcal{H}$; i.e., positive trace class operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Then the operation

$$(\rho, \sigma) \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}_2[U^*(\rho \otimes \sigma)U]$$

where Tr_2 is the partial trace over the second factor on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, provides general quantum analog of the classical scaled convolution operation in (1.3). To get a closer analog, for which one can prove an analog of the Entropy Power Inequality (1.3), one must make a choice of U that is somehow analogous to the classical rotation in (1.3). There is a natural way to do this for a system of n bosons, and the quantum analog of (1.3) was proved in this setting by König and Smith [4], using a quantum implementation of the method of Stam [8]. In their setting, the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite dimensional. More recently, Audenaert, Datta and Ozols have sought and proved [1] an analog of (1.3) that does not require the structure associated to a system of n bosons for its formulation, and is valid for any pair of density matrices on any separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be any separable Hilbert space, possibly but not necessarily finite dimensional. Define the *swap operator* S on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ by $S(u \otimes v) = v \otimes u$. S is self adjoint and unitary, and for each $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$, $\cos\theta 1_{\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}} + i \sin\theta S$ is unitary. To simplify the notation in what follows, we define $\sqrt{t} := \cos\theta$ so that for $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$, $\sin\theta = \sqrt{1-t}$. For each $t \in [0, 1]$, define

$$U_t = \sqrt{t}\, 1_{\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}} + i\sqrt{1-t}\, S \ . \tag{1.4}$$

Then as t varies between 0 and 1, U_t provides a continuous unitary interpolation between the identity and the swap operator S. Hence, for $t \in (0, 1)$, U_θ may be thought of as a *partial swap*. See [1] for the phyical context. For any two density matrices ρ and σ on $\mathcal{H}$, and any $t \in [0, 1]$, define

$$\rho \star_t \sigma = \mathrm{Tr}_2(U_t(\rho \otimes \sigma)U_t^*) \ . \tag{1.5}$$

A straightforward computation yields the explicit formula:

$$\rho \star_t \sigma = t\rho + (1-t)\sigma + i\sqrt{t(1-t)}[\rho, \sigma] \ . \tag{1.6}$$

For a density matrix ρ on $\mathcal{H}$, let $S(\rho) := -\mathrm{Tr}[\rho \log \rho]$ be the von Neumann entropy of ρ. Audenaert, Datta and Ozols prove [1] the following analog of (1.3):

$$S(\rho \star_t \sigma) \geq tS(\rho) + (1-t)S(\sigma) \ . \tag{1.7}$$

In [1], (1.7) is by means of a *majorization inequality*; see [7]: Given two monotone non-increasing sequence $\{\kappa_j\}$ and $\{\lambda_j\}$ of non-negative numbers with $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \kappa_j = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j < \infty$, then $\{\kappa_j\}$ *is*

majorized by $\{\lambda_j\}$ if and only if for all $k \in \mathtt{N}$, $\sum_{j=1}^k \kappa_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j$, in which case we write $\{\kappa_j\} \prec \{\lambda_j\}$.

A theorem of Hardy, Littlewood and Pólya [2] and Karamata [3], extended to infinite sequences in [5], says that $\{\kappa_j\} \prec \{\lambda_j\}$ if an only if there is a doubly stochastic matrix S ($S_{i,j} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^\infty S_{i,j} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty S_{i,j} = 1$ for all i, j) such that $\kappa_i = \sum_{j=1}^\infty S_{i,j}\lambda_j$ for all j. Then by the *strict* concavity of $h(x) = -x\log x$ on $[0,1]$ we have the following: *When* $\{\kappa_j\}$ *and* $\{\lambda_j\}$ *are two non-negative non-increasing sequences with* $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \kappa_j = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j = 1$, *and* $\{\kappa_j\} \prec \{\lambda_j\}$, *then* $-\sum_{\mathtt{j}=1}^\infty \kappa_j \log \kappa_j \geq -\sum_{\mathtt{j}=1}^\infty \lambda_j \log \lambda_j$, *and when* $\sum_{\mathtt{j}=1}^\infty \lambda_j \log \lambda_j < \infty$, *there is equality if and only if* $\{\kappa_j\} = \{\lambda_j\}$. For any non-negative compact operator A on $\mathcal{H}$, let $\lambda_j(A)$ denote the jth largest eigenvalue of A, with the eigenvalues repeated according to their multiplicity. The first part of the following theorem is proved in [1] by a much longer argument.

1.1 THEOREM. *For any two density matrices* ρ *and* σ *on* $\mathcal{H}$, *and any* $t \in [0,1]$, *let* $\rho \star_t \sigma$ *be given by (1.6). Then*

$$\{\lambda_j(\rho \star_t \sigma)\} \prec \{t\lambda_j(\rho) + (1-t)\lambda_j(\sigma)\} \ . \tag{1.8}$$

Moreover, $\{t\lambda_j(\rho) + (1-t)\lambda_j(\sigma)\} = \{\lambda_j(\rho \star_t \sigma)\}$ *if and only if there is an orthonormal basis* $\{\phi_j\}$ *of* $\mathcal{H}$ *such that* $\rho\phi_j = \lambda_j(\rho)\phi_j$ *and* $\sigma = \lambda_j(\sigma)\phi_j$ *for each* j.

By Theorem 1.1 and what we have said about majorization and $h(x) = -x\log x$,

$$S(\rho \star_t \sigma) \geq -\sum_{j=1}^\infty h(t\lambda_j(\rho) + (1-t)\lambda_j(\sigma)\}) \geq$$
$$-t\sum_{j=1}^\infty h(\lambda_j(\rho)) - (1-t)\sum_{j=1}^\infty h(\lambda_j(\sigma)\}) = tS(\rho) + (1-t)S(\sigma) \ , \tag{1.9}$$

and this proves (1.7). If there is equality in (1.7) and the left side is finite, then by Theorem 1.1, there is an orthonormal basis $\{\phi_j\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\rho\phi_j = \lambda_j(\rho)\phi_j$ and $\sigma = \lambda_j(\sigma)\phi_j$ for each j. Since the second inequality in (1.9) must also be saturated, it must be the case that $\lambda_j(\rho) = \lambda_j(\sigma)$ for each j, and hence $\rho = \sigma$. *Thus our statement about cases of equality in Theorem 1.1 implies that finite equality holds in (1.7) if and only if* $\rho = \sigma$.

We now give a very short proof of Theorem 1.1. The second part, on cases of equality, is new. The heart of the matter is the following lemma.

1.2 LEMMA. *For all non-negative compact contractions* A *and* B *on* $\mathcal{H}$, *and all* $t \in (0,1)$, *let* $A \star_t B := tA + (1-t)B + i\sqrt{t(1-t)}[A,B]$. *Then* $\lambda_1(A \star_t B) \leq t\lambda_1(A) + (1-t)\lambda_1(B)$. *There is equality if and only if there is a unit vector* $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ *such that* $A\phi = \lambda_1(A)\phi$ *and* $B\phi = \lambda_1(B)\phi$.

Proof. To prove the inequality, it suffices to show that

$$[t\lambda_1(A) + (1-t)\lambda_1(B)]\mathbb{1} - A \star_t B \geq 0 \ . \tag{1.10}$$

Define

$$X = (\lambda_1(A)\mathbb{1} - A) \ , \qquad Y = (\lambda_1(B)\mathbb{1} - B) \quad \text{and} \quad Z = \sqrt{t}X + i\sqrt{1-t}Y \ . \tag{1.11}$$

Note that $i\sqrt{t(1-t)}[A,B] = i[\sqrt{t}X, \sqrt{1-t}Y] = -ZZ^* + tX^2 + (1-t)Y^2$. Therefore, the left side of (1.10) can be written as $t[X-X^2] + (1-t)[Y-Y^2] + ZZ^*$. Since $0 \leq X, Y \leq \mathtt{1}$, $X - X^2 \geq 0$ and $Y - Y^2 \geq 0$, and this proves (1.10).

For the cases of equality, suppose that ϕ is in the null space of $t[X-X^2]+(1-t)[Y-Y^2]+ZZ^*$. Then $\langle \phi, [X-X^2]\phi\rangle = 0$, and hence either $X\phi = 0$ or $X\phi = \phi$, and likewise for Y in place of X. Then if either $X\phi = \phi$ or $Y\phi = \phi$, then $\|Z^*\phi\| \geq \sqrt{t(1-t)}\|\phi\|$, so if $\phi \neq 0$, $X\phi = Y\phi = 0$, and this proves the statement about cases of equality. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any $k \in \mathtt{N}$, let $\bigwedge^k \mathcal{H}$ denote the antisymmetric k-fold tensor product of $\mathcal{H}$. For any bounded operator A on $\mathcal{H}$, define the operator $a^{[k]}$ on $\bigwedge^k \mathcal{H}$ by

$$A^{[k]}(v_1, \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k) = (Av_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k) + (v_1 \wedge Av_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k) + \cdots + (v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k-1} \wedge Av_k) \ .$$

If A is self adjoint, non-negative and compact, so is $A^{[k]}$ and $\lambda_1(A^{[k]}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j(A)$. In particular, if A is a density matrix, then for all $k \in \mathtt{N}$, $A^{[k]}$ is a non-negative contraction. Also, for any bounded operators A, B on $\mathcal{H}$, $[A^{[k]}, B^{[k]}] = [A,B]^{[k]}$.

It now follows from Lemma 1.2 that for any density matrices ρ and σ, the sequence $\{\lambda_j(\rho \star_t \sigma)\}$ is majorized by the sequence $\{t\lambda_j(\rho) + (1-t)\lambda_j(\sigma)\}$, and moreover, if $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j(\rho \star_t \sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^k (t\lambda_j(\rho) + (1-t)\lambda_j(\sigma))$ for each k, then ρ and σ have a common eigenvector basis $\{\phi_j\}$ such that $\rho\phi_j = \lambda_j(\rho)\phi_j$ and $\sigma = \lambda_j(\sigma)\phi_j$ for each j.

□

References

[1] K. Audenaert, N. Datta and M. Ozols, *Entropy power inequality for qdits.* arXiv:1503.04213v2.

[2] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Some simple inequalities satisfied by convex functions*, Messenger of Math. **58**, 145-152 (1929).

[3] J. Karamata, *Sur une inégalité relative aux fonctions convexes*, Publ. Math. Univ. Belgrade **1**, 145-148 (1932).

[4] R. König, and G. Smith, *The entropy power inequality for quantum systems,* IEEE Trans. Info. Thy. **60**, 1536-1548 (2014).

[5] I.C. Gohberg, A.S. Markus, *Some relations between eigenvalues and matrix elements of linear operators*, Mat. Sb. **64** (106) 481-496, 1964 (in Russian); Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, vol. 52, 1966, 201-216 (in English).

[6] E.H. Lieb, *Proof of an entropy conjecture of Wehrl*, Commun. Math. Phys., **62**, no. 1, 3541, (1978).

[7] A.W. Marshall. and I. Olkin, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*, Academic Press, New York. (1979).

[8] A.J. Stam. *Some inequalities satisfied by the quantities of information of Fisher and Shannon* Inf. Control **2**, 101-112 (1959).